

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/005,004	Applicant(s) MILLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Arlen Soderquist	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Arlen Soderquist.

(2) Mark E. Deffner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,19-22,26-29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed changes to claim 1 to overcome problems with the language and canceling the withdrawn claims. An agreement was reach relative to the language and authorization was given to make the changes by examiner's amendment. Additionally authorization was given to charge deposit account 13-2725 an additional month of extension. .